

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Master File No. 3:07-md-05944-SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates To:

**ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS**

*Electrograph Sys., Inc., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-01656;

*Electrograph Sys., Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05724;

*Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-05502;

*Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05261;

*Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-05513;

*Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05264;

*Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-05514;

*Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05686;

*Sears, Roebuck & Co., et al. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-05514;

*Sears, Roebuck & Co., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05262;

*Interbond Corp. of Am. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-06275;

*Interbond Corp. of Am. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05727;

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' AND  
INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS'  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL  
THEIR OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT  
BASED UPON PLAINTIFFS'  
PURPORTED FAILURE TO  
DISTINGUISH BETWEEN  
ACTIONABLE AND NON-ACTIONABLE  
DAMAGES UNDER THE FTAIA; AND  
DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS'  
OPPOSITION TO THE LGE  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON FTAIA  
GROUNDS**

*Office Depot, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*,  
No. 11-cv-06276;

*Office Depot, Inc. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*,  
No. 13-cv-05726;

*CompuCom Systems, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-06396;

*Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-06397;

*Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05723;

*P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 12-cv-02648;

*P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corp., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05725;

*Schultze Agency Servs., LLC v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 12-cv-02649;

*Schultze Agency Servs., LLC v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05668;

*Tech Data Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 13-cv-00157;

*Viewsonic Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al.*, No. 14-cv-02510;

*Dell Inc., et al. v. Hitachi Ltd. et al.*, No. 13-cv-02171.

1 On December 23, 2014, the Direct Action Plaintiffs (“DAPs”) and Indirect Purchaser  
2 Plaintiffs (“IPPs”) (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) filed an Administrative Motion to Seal Portions of  
3 their Opposition to Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment Based Upon Plaintiffs’  
4 Purported Failure to Distinguish Between Actionable and Non-Actionable Damages Under the  
5 FTAIA; and Direct Action Plaintiffs’ Opposition to the LGE Defendants’ Motion for Partial  
6 Summary Judgment on FTAIA Grounds Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d) to file  
7 under seal portions of the following documents:

- 8 • Direct Action Plaintiffs’ and Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Defendants’  
9 Motion for Summary Judgment Based Upon Plaintiffs’ Purported Failure to  
10 Distinguish Between Actionable and Non-Actionable Damages Under the FTAIA;  
11 and Direct Action Plaintiffs’ Opposition to the LGE Defendants’ Motion for Partial  
12 Summary Judgment on FTAIA Grounds (“Opposition”)
- 13 • Exhibits 1-9 and Exhibits 11-30 to the Declaration of Philip J. Iovieno in Support of  
14 the Opposition (“Iovieno Declaration”)
- 15 • Julie French Declaration in Support of Direct Action Plaintiffs’ Opposition to  
16 Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment Based Upon Plaintiffs Purported Failure  
17 to Distinguish Between Actionable and Non-Actionable Damages Under the FTAIA  
18 (“French Declaration”)

19 Having read and considered the papers filed and arguments made by counsel, and good  
20 cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs’ Administrative Motion to Seal  
21 Portions of their Opposition to Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment Based Upon  
22 Plaintiffs’ Purported Failure to Distinguish Between Actionable and Non-Actionable Damages  
23 Under the FTAIA; and Direct Action Plaintiffs’ Opposition to the LGE Defendants’ Motion for  
24 Partial Summary Judgment on FTAIA Grounds Pursuant to Local Civil Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d)  
25 is GRANTED.

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

2  
3 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
4 Hon. Samuel Conti  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28